Appraisals for Conservation Projects They Shouldn't be a Black Box

Saving Special Places 2017
April 8, 2017

Duane Hyde, Land Conservation Director Southeast Land Trust of New Hampshire



George S. Lamprey, NHCG #181Lamprey Appraisals



Ordering an Appraisal – Appraiser and Client

- General Real Estate Appraisers most qualified
 - Conservation easement coursework
- Who is the Client and Who is Intended User?

Accreditation Requirement – Who Commissions the Appraisal?

- Accreditation Manual Requirement 9J requires appraisal to be commissioned by
 - The organization
 - A public agency
 - Non-profit partner with similar mission
 - Jointly by organization and landowner
 - Landowner's appraisal with organization commissioned review appraisal
 - Landowner with organization as intended user

What to Include in Request for Appraisal Services

- Purpose of Appraisal (IRS, acquisition, donation, etc.)
- Will a review appraiser review?
- USPAP or Yellow Book
- Other land owned by landowner nearby
- Details about the Property
 - Road frontage, river/lake frontage, forest/field %, condition, stocking, improvements...
- Type of Report Appraisal Report or Restricted

What to Include in Request for Appraisal Services

- Documents to provide or reference
 - Deed and boundary survey
 - CE or CE summary
 - Excluded Area location and size
 - Maps aerial & topo. Sometimes soils, wetlands, roads
- Maybe helpful
 - Title report
 - Timber cruise

Conceptual Development Analysis?

- Conceptual development analysis
 - Helps define maximum productivity
 - Generally only use when HBU is subdivision and land features or development requirements are such that engineer/survey expertise needed
 - Ask appraisers to help decide if needed



Reviewing an Appraisal – Starting Point

- Overall Questions
 - Is the appraisal accurate with respect to the <u>Facts</u> as you know them?
 - Did the appraiser sufficiently document their process and analysis so anyone reading the report can reasonably understand how they got to their conclusions?
 - Is the analysis and conclusion reasonable?
- Read the entire report!

Reviewing an Appraisal – Areas to Pay Attention

- Extraordinary Assumptions and Hypothetical Conditions
- Assignment accurately described
- Description of Property and Property Analysis
 - Zoning and land regulations captured
 - Topography and land features accurate
- Methodology selected make sense?
 - Sales comparison almost always
 - Development analysis appropriate?

Reviewing an Appraisal – Areas to Pay Attention

- Did they include the easement and accurately summarize it?
- Highest and Best Use Determination
 - Is it how you would approach the property as a buyer or a developer?
 - Informed by consultation with experts when necessary
 - Overly optimistic/pessimistic
 - Comply with zoning/land use regs

Reviewing an Appraisal – Comparable Sales

- Age of comps? Try to be within 1 year
- Do you know of better comps?
 - Other appraisals you have commissioned
 - Sales you know about
 - Sales of conserved land stewardship staff know
 - Contact partner organization if they have done work on similar property in area – may share comps

Reviewing an Appraisal – Comparable Sales

- Read comp sheets, comp table, and description of adjustments
 - Explained sufficiently?
 - Anything amiss that question it as being a comp?

Reviewing an Appraisal – Grid

If
adjustments
are greater
than 50%,
may start to
question
whether it is a
comp

Location

Time

Amenities

Road Frontage

Physical Characteristics

Land Sale #1 Land Sale #2 Land Sale #3 Land Sale #4 Land Sale #5 Comparison Subject Wednesday Hill Rd Marshall Rd Smoke St Edgewood Ext. Bunker Hill Ro Huckins Rd Location Kingston Barrington Durham Aubum Madbury Durham Town \$350,000 \$325,000 \$143,500 \$350,000 \$294,000 Sales Price \$7,516 \$10,893 \$12,069 56,778 \$10,250 Sales Price / Acre 3/27/2013 7/8/2014 Date of Appraisal/Sale 5/11/2015 3/25/2014 2/25/2015 6/8/2012 46.57 26.99 43.40 29.00 14.00 Land (Acre) Factors Affecting Sale Land Sale #2 Land Sale #4 Land Sale #5 Land Sale #1 Land Sale #3 Comparison Subject Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Property Rights Conveyed Adjustment \$6,778 \$10,250 \$7,516 \$10,893 Adjusted Price \$12,069 Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal Normal Financino Adjustment \$7,516 \$10,893 \$12,069 \$6,778 \$10,250 Adjusted Price Normal Normal Normal Contingent Approvals Conditions of Sale Normal Adjustment \$12,069 \$6,778 \$10,250 \$7,516 \$10,348 Adjusted Price In Current Use Not in Current Use Assessment Status 0% 0% 0% Adjustment \$6,778 \$9,225 \$7,516 \$10,348 \$12,069 Adjusted Price Time Analysis Land Sale #4 Land Sale #5 Subject Land Sale #1 Land Sale #2 Land Sale #3 Comparison 6/8/2012 3/27/2013 7/8/2014 Date of Sale/Pending Date 3/25/2014 2/25/2015 5/11/2015 5/11/2015 5/11/2015 5/11/2015 5/11/2015 8/11/2015 Date of Appraisal 19% 8% Total Adjustment \$13,276 \$6,914 \$10,793 \$8,944 \$11,176 Adjusted Price/Acre Physical Adjustments Comparative Adjustments Land Bale #1 Land Sale #2 Land Sale #3 Land Sale #4 Land Sale #5 Marshall Rd Edgewood Ext. Bunker Hill Ro Huckins Rd Wednesday Hill Rd Location Durham Aubum Madbury Durham Kingston Barrington inferior Similar Similar inferior Superior Comparison to Subject 10% 0% 40% Adjustment Required 20% None None 587 on Calef Pond None 2.020 on Lamprey River None Amenities Inferior inferior Similar Inferior Comparison to Subject Inferior 15% Adjustment Required 15% 55% **Total Adjustments** \$10,717 \$17,923 \$13,491 \$8,944 \$12,852 Quantitative Adjusted Value Per Acre Qualitative Physical Adjustments 1981 640 2.295 243 250 Class V Road Frontage 47.9 17.4 5.4 45.6 22.1 Road Frontage/Acre Slightly Inferior Similar Moderatey Inferior Moderatey Inferior Moderatey Inferior Comparison to Subject Moderate Up Moderate Up Adjustment Required None Moderate Up irregular shape with Irregular shape with Physical Characteristics irregular shape with a irregular shape with rolling Irregular shape with megular shape with mostly level topography. topography and some open around 1/3 of site rolling topography. deep, narrow tract. rolling topography with limited sloping sandvarea Reportedly consisting of Moderately wooded Has a generally level and it includes wetlands. The site with atomy soils to rolling topography. around 25% to 30% areas. Around 90% of around 6-7 acres, mostly to wetlands, most to the Several wetland Inci some field land site is well drained. the rear, consists of has a rolling to rear. Moderately areas, particularly with majority Approx. 1/3 in Aguiter vetlands. Encumbered with sloping topography. wooded. Approvals Protection District. utility easement and ROW. Eventually supported across middle of wooded for 7 lots at buyers site. Potential for 3 Mostly wooded with Subsequent to sale subdivision into 11 ежелье some overgrown field approved for 45 over 55 road front lots house sites. area. Potential for 4-6 condos Requires a cistem. Slightly Inferior Moderately Superior Significantly Superior Similar Moderately Superior Comparison to Subject Moderate Down Very Significant Down None Moderate Down Slight Up Adjustment Required 47.95 14.00 48.57 26.99 Site Size (Acre) 43.40 Similar Smaller Similar Smaller Comparison to Subject Similar Adjustment Required Moderate Down None Slight Down \$8,944 \$12,852 Adjusted Value/Ac - Quantitative Adjustments \$10,717 \$13,491 None Moderate Down Signficant Up Slight Down **Total Qualitative Adjustments** Significant Down Reconciled Value per Acre \$11,000

Size

Reviewing an Appraisal – Discounted Cash Flow/Development Method

	DISCOUN	TED CASH FLOY	W ANALYSIS
Period	10/16-9/17	10/17-9/18	10/18-9/19
Lots Sold	3	8	6
Aggregate Lots Sold	3	11	17
Gross Lot Sales	\$545,000	\$1,245,000	\$ 930,000
Aggregate Gross Sales	\$545,000	\$1,790,000	\$2,720,000
Sales & Holding Costs			
Commissions	\$ 28,000	\$ 62,000	\$ 47,000
Transfer Tax	\$ 4,000	\$ 9,000	\$ 7,000
Real Estate Taxes	\$ 10,000	\$ 46,000	\$ 15,000
LUCT	\$201,000	•	*
Overhead	\$ 5,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 10,000
Total S & H Costs	\$248,000	\$ 129,000	\$ 79,000
Projected Sale Proceeds	\$297,000	\$1,116,000	\$ 851,000
Development Costs			
Approvals	\$102,000		
Roads & Utilities	\$605,000		
Fire Protection	\$ 80,000		
Entrepreneurial Profit	\$ 82,000	\$ 187,000	\$ 140,000
Total Development Costs	\$869,000	\$ 187,000	\$ 140,000
Projected Net Proceeds	(\$572,000)	\$ 929,000	\$ 711,000
NPV Factor (8% WACC)	.9615	.8903	.8244
Net Present Value	(\$549,978)	\$ 827,088	\$ 586,148
Aggregate NPV	\$863,258 (\$50,780/Potential Lot)		
	Estimated Ma	rket Value via the	Income Approach
		\$865,000	

Discount Model Assumptions:		
Discount Rate/Year:	8.50%	
Marketing & Brokers Expense	5.00%	
Developer's Profit	15.00%	
Engineering & Approvals/Lot	\$4,000	
Average Lot Value	\$140,000	
Average Current Use Penalty Rate	10%	
Inflation Rate/Year:	0.00%	
Income	Year 1	Year 2
Number of Lot Sales	3	3
Sale of Lots	\$420,000	\$420,000
Total Income From Lot Sales	\$420,000	\$420,000
Expenses		
Engineering & Approvals	\$24,000	\$0
Road Construction	\$0	\$0
Current Use Penalties	\$42,000	\$42,000
Taxes	\$94	\$6,037
Marketing Expenses	\$21,000	\$21,000
Legal & Closing Costs	\$6,150	\$6,150
Total Expenses	\$93,244	\$75,187
Net Income Before Developer's Profit	\$326,756	\$344,813
Less Developer's Profit	\$63,000	\$63,000
Net Income	\$263,756	\$281,813
Discount Factors	0.921659	0.849455
Present Value Income	\$243,093	\$239,388
Indicated Value	\$482,481	
Indicated Value per Lot	\$80,413	
Indicated Value per Acre	\$11,117	

All costs? Reasonable schedule? Absorption Rate?

Reviewing an Appraisal – IRS Appraisal

- See Land Trust Accreditation Manual Practice
 10B
- Some additional things to watch for:
 - Effective Date: < than 60 days prior or date of</p>
 - Statement that prepared for income tax purposes
 - Address enhancement if donor or family members own contiguous property
 - Part of syndication?
 - Reasonable?

Questions?

Phew, that's a lot

