


What's New in Northern Hardwoods Silviculture -- The Wildlife Habitat Elements



Mariko Yamasaki and Chris Costello

USDA Forest Service
Northern Research Station
Durham and Bartlett, NH

Soil-Site Relationships



Modified from: Leak (1982)

1 = most abundant in climax stands; 2 = second most abundant in climax stands

Predator-Prey Relationships



Managers create habitat conditions over time across landscapes that influence predator-prey relationships



Based upon past/present land use – how do we proceed?

- Land-use history – regionally
- 60+ years of breeding bird survey results regionally show sharp declines in early successional bird species
- Mature forest bird species more stable than not
- Is natural disturbance silviculture the answer . . .

From: DeGraaf et al. 2006

Silvicultural Options


- Size of opening influences composition
- Frequency of cut matters – cuts create important ephemeral habitats
- Spatial and temporal patterns influence the reoccupation of these ephemeral habitats

Frequency of Cutting Cycle Matters - Ephemeral Habitats

Species	First appear	Common	Decline
E. bluebird	1	1	2
N. flicker	1	1	7-10
Willow flycatcher	1	4	7-10
Winter wren	1		2
Swainson's thrush	2	4	15*
Chestnut-sided warbler	2	4	10
Mourning warbler	2	5	7-10
Common yellowthroat	2	6	10
American goldfinch	2	6	7-10
Cedar waxwing	2	4	7-10
Veery	3	6	*
Black-and-white warbler	3	4	15*
Rose-breasted grosbeak	3	15	*
Canada warbler	5	15	*
Ruffed grouse	10	15	*
Wood thrush	10	15	*
Ovenbird	10	15	*
Black-throated blue warbler	15	*	*
Black-throated green warbler	15	*	*

From: DeGraaf et al. (1992)

Early Successional Habitat Strategies



From: DeGraaf and Yamasaki 2003



So What's New?

- We're treating stands with an eye to their patchiness – rather than tree-by-tree
- We're recognizing the importance of how regeneration is laid out on-the-ground
- We're paying closer attention to habitat features in managed stands that maintain/enhance wildlife habitat diversity

Uneven-aged Management Concerns




Extensive landscapes managed using single-tree selection only tends to limit horizontal diversity, distribution of browse and early and mid-successional foraging substrates used by herbivores and insectivores alike

No Vegetation Management Approaches



- No vertebrate old growth-obligates documented in NE to date
- Surveys indicate some bryophyte, mite, and ground-dwelling beetle species may find such stands of interest

Potential Habitats Available With Broad Management Strategies



From: DeGraaf et al. 2006


A New Look at Uneven-aged Silvicultural Methods and Responses in New England Beech-Birch-Maple Stands




- Surveys conducted from 1992-1998
- 6 study blocks (1992-1996)
- 1 study block (1994-1998)

Habitat group	Clearcuts (42)	Group/patch selection (42)	Uncut/mature forest (42)	Total
Opening size	~ 20 acres	0.3-2 acres		
Early successional sp. (ES)	17	9	3	17
ES observations	2119	452	14	2585
Generalist species (GEN)	20	19	16	20
GEN observations	749	1082	1324	3155
Mid-/later successional species (M/LS)	13	15	15	16
M/LS observations	410	1372	1098	2880
Total species	50	43	34	53
Total observations	3278	2906	2436	8620

Ordination of Avian Similarity for 114 Survey Points, WMNF





- Early successional bird community in new hardwood clearcuts is very different from the mature hardwood bird community
- Bird community in group selection cuts are mixtures of mature hardwoods and some but not all early successional communities



From: Yamasaki et al. (2014)

BEF Case Study -- Bird Use of Clearcuts, Patches, and Shelterwood

- ES species not present in pre-harvest stands
- Species richness was similar (ES, Gen, M/LS)
- Higher proportions of ES species and observations in CC and patches than shelterwood






So What's New?


- We're treating stands with an eye to their patchiness – rather than tree-by-tree
- We're recognizing the importance of how regeneration is laid out on-the-ground
- We're paying closer attention to habitat features in managed stands that maintain/enhance wildlife habitat diversity

Bartlett EF – vertebrate richness

Taxa	No. of species
Amphibians	12
Reptiles	6
Birds	108
Mammals	49
Totals	165




BEF Vegetative Structure



- Cover-type -- a good habitat descriptor for some species, not for others -- better with stand structure info
- For species with size-class affinities, interpreting relationships needs stand structure assessment
- Forest bird habitat management needs the finer details of stand structure that neither cover-type nor size-class can provide

From: DeGraaf et al. 1998; Leak et al. 1987; DeGraaf et al. 2001, 2005, 2006

Structure Related Within-Stand Features



Tree Related Within-Stand Features

Exposed perches



Cavity trees

Hard mast inclusions




Den trees

Soft snags

From: DeGraaf et al. 2006

Retention Areas on Larger Cuts



Provides:

- resistant beech mast opportunities
- larger diameter tree diversity within cut stand over time
- secure groups of cavity trees (OSHA)



Within-stand Features Enhanced with Integrated Prescriptions

	No mgt	Uneven-aged mgt		Even-aged mgt		
		Single-tree	Group/patch	Thinning	Shelterwood	Clearcut
Canopy closure	Tree-sized gaps	Closed	Partial	Closed - partial	Partial	Open
Exposed perches			X		X	X
Inclusions	X	X	X	X	X	X
Large cavity trees	Abundant	X	X	X	X	X
Hard mast	X	X	NI	X	X	NI
Soft mast			X		X	X
Midstory	X	X	NI	X	NI	NI
Shrub layer			X		X	X
Herb layer			X		X	X
CWD	Abundant	Minimal	X	X	X	X



Selected Examples:



- Seed fall considerations
- Regional small mammal dynamics
- CWD characteristics
- Terrestrial salamanders
- Forest raptor considerations
- Browse considerations



From: Graber and Leak 1992

Seed Fall in Old Growth Northern Hardwoods

- SM, YB, and BE account for 98% of seed fall
- On average 1/3 of the crop was available for regeneration
- Seed production is highly variable
- Wildlife consumption estimated at 2-16%; BE consumption at 8%




From: Graber and Leak 1992; Leak and Graber 1993

Beech Seed Fall in Managed Stands

- Production increases with age/size and percent of BE basal area
- Seed production is highly variable
- Filled seed averaged 74 to 88%
- Avian consumption ranged from 0 to 11%
- Rodents consumed 0 to 9%
- Heavy seed production occurs about 1 in 3 years


SM/BE Seed Fall -- 1996-2016, HBEF



- Also highly variable – associated with the prior 2 summer temp differences and a prior season nut crop
- SM mast events – 4 times in 20 yrs
- BE mast events – 5 times in 20 yr

From: Cleavitt and Fahey 2017

WMNF/BEF Small Mammals – looking for SYBO



- In the process, describe the small mammal communities across the WM region
- n = 108 sites across WMNF
- focused on 2 ELTs (6E and 115A)
- 9 sites/ELT; snap sets and pitfall set at each site
- 18 sites sampled per year
- 50+ WMNF employees involved in this project

From: Stephens et al. doi: 10.1111/ecog.02233


WMNF/BEF Small Mammals – looking for SYBO

Species	Year			Total
	1995	1996	1997	
<i>Sorex cinereus</i>	1731	372	872	2975
<i>Napaeozapus insignis</i>	234	781	698	1713
<i>Myodes gapperi</i>	1192	60	240	1492
<i>Blarina brevicauda</i>	302	14	631	947
<i>Peromyscus maniculatus</i>	529	31	149	709
<i>Peromyscus leucopus</i>	287	15	185	487
<i>Sorex fumeus</i>	77	23	44	144
<i>Sorex hoyi</i>	37	10	39	86
<i>Microtus pennsylvanicus</i>	20	2	22	44
<i>Sorex dispar</i>	25	3	11	39
<i>Zapus hudsonius</i>	18	10	7	35
<i>Synaptomys cooperi</i>	19	1	6	26
<i>Tamias striatus</i>	10	5	8	23
<i>Sorex palustris</i>	9	5	6	20
<i>Microtus chrotorrhinus</i>	3	2	13	18
<i>Glaucomys sabrinus</i>	4	2		6
<i>Glaucomys volans</i>	3	2	1	6
<i>Tamiasciurus hudsonicus</i>	4			4
<i>Condylura cristata</i>		1	2	3
<i>Microtus pinetorum</i>		1		1
<i>Parascalops breweri</i>			1	1
<i>Synaptomys borealis</i>		1		1
Total	4504	1341	2935	8780

From: Stephens et al. doi: 10.1111/ecog.02233

- 22 species of small mammals in the White Mountains region
- 6 species represent 90-96 percent of the catch
- Raw captures without differential corrections


WMNF/BEF Small Mammals – looking for SYBO



From: Stephens et al. doi: 10.1111/ecog.02233

- Across the WM region, small mammal community metrics in hardwoods, mixedwood, and softwood habitats were similar
- Yearly captures account for a majority of the variability

WMNF/BEF Small Mammals – looking for SYBO



From: Stephens et al. doi: 10.1111/ecog.02233

- Model tested against BEF long-term data for the same years and show concordance
- Trap year accounted for 50% of the variation
- Small mammal community composition is highly variable and not well described by overstory composition

CWD – Structural Habitat Elements



Large cavity and den trees
Large hollow logs
Cull, slash, and brush piles




Species That Benefit from CWD Presence




BEF Snag Longevity – Dense Hardwoods




From: Yamasaki and Leak (2006)



BEF – Large Snags (> 16 in dbh)



BEF – Evidence of Woodpecker Use



BEF CWD Piece Size Distribution

midpoint diameter (in)




Terrestrial Salamanders



- Cover boards placed across an edge gradient 40 m to either side
- Checked every 2 wks after a rain event
- Structure and cover described
- Number of RBS counted

From: DeGraaf and Yamasaki (2002)

Terrestrial Salamanders




From: DeGraaf and Yamasaki (2002)

Northern Goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis*)



Forest Landscape Compositions



Goshawks Maintain 1 to 8 Nests Within a Territory



Goshawk Nest Territories



- Occur in forest-dominated landscapes
- Often a component of managed stands
- Occur on lands with history of agricultural activity or prior cutting



Nest Site Characteristics



Often near upland openings,
woods roads and trails



Most often at the bottom of lower slope
positions at elevations less than 1500 ft

Nest Site Characteristics



or mixed stands of spruce/fir and hardwoods

Goshawks in this region use white pine stands



Nest Site Characteristics



- More sawtimber-sized trees and higher softwood basal areas in nest sites compared to random sites

Nest Tree Characteristics

White Pine



Mean DBH = 19.5 in

Hardwoods



Mean DBH = 14.8 in

BEF Patches



2013




2001


BEF Patches



Advanced Regeneration Can Recover from Severe Browsing



From: Trimble (1968)



From: Jacobs (1969)

Browse Pressure Effects

- Heavy browsing on BF can favor RS
- Can increase softwood composition on the right site
- Can be severe in localized areas – moose wintering areas




Physical Obstacles to Limit Browse Impacts?



- Resist lopping all tops
- Consider leaving more tops on-site
- Consider regenerating larger stands
- On small openings -- consider strategic brush fencing

What Has BEF Taught Us About the Practice of Silviculture in the Northeast



- Variety is important -- there is no 'one-size fits all' solution
- Landownership size can influence size of openings
- Working forest landscapes can produce many quality products (wood, habitat, recreational opportunities, visually appealing landscapes) over time with good planning and implementation
- It's important to keep an open mind